

NAP 2019, MODULE II, HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT #1

Michel Waldschmidt

Homework assignment, May 28, 2019.

• Problem 4.1 p. 42.

Assume $[L : K]$ is prime. If E is an extension of K contained in L , then the tower law yields $[L : K] = [L : E][E : K]$, hence

- either $[L : E] = 1$, which means $E = L$,
- or else $[E : K] = 1$, which means $E = K$.

Hence the intermediate fields are only L and K .

• Problem 4.2 p. 45.

If one of the two extensions $K_1 : K$, $K_2 : K$ is not finite, then $K(K_1, K_2) : K$ is not a finite extension, and the result is true.

Assume now that both $K_1 : K$ and $K_2 : K$ are finite. In this case $K(K_1, K_2) : K$ is a finite extension. Replacing L by $K(K_1, K_2)$, there is no loss of generality to assume that $L : K$ is a finite extension, hence an algebraic extension.

(a) To start with, let us show that if $L : K$ is an algebraic extension and A a subset of L which is a ring and a K -vector space, then A is a subfield of L . We need to prove that any nonzero element t in A has its inverse $1/t$ in A . By assumption t is algebraic over K . Let $a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n = 0$ be a polynomial relation for t with $a_j \in K$ and $a_0 \neq 0$. Then

$$t^{-1} = -(a_1/a_0) - (a_2/a_0)t - \dots - (a_n/a_0)t^{n-1}$$

and the assumptions imply that the right hand side is in A .

(b) Consider the subset A of L of finite sums $x_1y_1 + \dots + x_my_m$ where $x_i \in K_1$ and $y_i \in K_2$.

The sum and the product of two elements in A is again in A , hence A is a subring of L .

The product of an element of A by an element of K is in A , hence A is a subspace of the K -vector space L .

(c) Let e_1, \dots, e_d be a basis of K_1 as a K -vector space and f_1, \dots, f_m a basis of K_2 as a K -vector space. Then $d = [K_1 : K]$, $m = [K_2 : K]$ and any element in A is a finite sum of $a_{ij}e_if_j$, hence $\{e_if_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ is a generating subset of A as a K -vector space. This shows that the dimension of A over K is $\leq [K_1 : K][K_2 : K]$.

(d) Using (a), it follows that the field $K(K_1, K_2)$ is A , hence this field is an extension of K of degree $\leq [K_1 : K][K_2 : K]$.

• Problem 4.3 p. 45.

Let $F(x) = \det(xI - T_\alpha)$ be the characteristic polynomial of T_α . By the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem, $F(T_\alpha) = 0$. Since $T_\alpha^m = T_{\alpha^m}$ is the multiplication by α^m , the endomorphism $F(T_\alpha)$ of $K(\alpha)$ is the multiplication by $F(\alpha)$. Hence $F(\alpha) = 0$. Now $F(x)$ is a monic polynomial in $K[x]$ of degree $[K(\alpha) : K]$. Hence it is the minimal polynomial of α over K .

Here is another proof. Let $f(x) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ be the minimal polynomial of α over K . A basis of $K(\alpha)$ over K is $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1})$. For $0 \leq j < d - 1$, we have $T_\alpha(\alpha^j) = \alpha^{j+1}$, while for $j = d - 1$ we have

$$T_\alpha(\alpha^{d-1}) = \alpha^d = -a_0 - a_1\alpha - \dots - a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}.$$

This means that in this basis, the matrix of T_α is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{d-2} & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial of this matrix is $f(x)$.

• Problem 4.4 p. 45.

A polynomial of degree 3 is irreducible over a field K if and only if it has no root in K^1 .

The roots p/q in \mathbb{Q} , with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, of a polynomial

$$a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

with coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_0 a_d \neq 0$, have the property that a_0 divides p and a_d divides q . In particular when $a_0 = \pm 1$ and $a_d = \pm 1$ the only possible roots are 1 and -1 . Here neither 1 nor -1 is root of $x^3 + 3x + 1$, hence this polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

We have $\alpha^3 = -3\alpha - 1$, $\alpha^{-1} = -\alpha^2 - 3$.

There are several ways of finding the answer for $(1 + \alpha)^{-1}$, namely

$$(1 + \alpha)^{-1} = \frac{1}{3}\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{3}\alpha + \frac{4}{3}.$$

One solution is to write

$$(1 + \alpha)^{-1} = a_2 \alpha^2 + a_1 \alpha + a_0,$$

namely $(a_2 \alpha^2 + a_1 \alpha + a_0)(1 + \alpha) = 1$, to develop using $\alpha^3 = -3\alpha - 1$ and to solve the system of three equations in three unknowns

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ a_0 + a_1 - 3a_2 = 0, \\ a_0 - a_2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Another solution is to write the Euclidean division in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ of the polynomial $x^3 + 3x + 1$ by $x + 1$:

$$x^3 + 3x + 1 = (x + 1)(x^2 - x + 4) - 3$$

and to evaluate at $x = \alpha$:

$$0 = (\alpha + 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha + 4) - 3.$$

• Problem 4.5 p. 45.

Let j be a complex root of $x^2 + x + 1$ (notice that $j^3 = 1$ and $j \neq 1$), let $\alpha = j + \sqrt[3]{2}$, $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(j)$, $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. We have $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$, $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. It follows that $L(j) = E(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is the field $\mathbb{Q}(E, L)$. From Problem 4.2 we deduce that it has degree ≤ 6 over \mathbb{Q} . Since it contains a subfield of degree 2 and a subfield of degree 3 over \mathbb{Q} , it has degree 6 over \mathbb{Q} . Notice that $[L(\alpha) : L] = 2$ and $[L : K] = 3$ are relatively prime.

The minimal polynomial of α over L is

$$(x - j)^3 - 2 = x^3 - jx^2 + j^2x - 3$$

(with $j^2 = -j - 1$), its coefficients are not in K .

¹This is true also for polynomials of degree 2, but not for polynomials of higher degree.

- Problem 4.6 p. 45.

Since $[L : K] \neq 1$, we have $L \neq K$. Let $\alpha \in L \setminus K$. The field $K(\alpha)$ is a subfield of L containing K , it is not K , hence it is L (Problem 4.1).

- Problem 4.7 p. 47.

Since K is countable, the set of polynomials with coefficients in K is also countable, hence the set of irreducible polynomials in $K[x]$ is countable, and each of them has only finitely many roots. Any element in L is a root of an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in K , hence L is countable.

Since \mathbb{Q} is countable, the set $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers is also countable. Since \mathbb{R} is not countable, the set of transcendental numbers is not countable. In particular it is not empty.

- Problem 4.8 p. 47.

(a) Write $f(x) = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + a_0$ with $d \geq 1$, $a_i \in K$ and $a_d \neq 0$. Since α is transcendental, it makes sense to say that the degree of $f(\alpha)$ in α is d . For $m \geq 1$ the degree in α of $f(\alpha)^m$ is md . Therefore the elements $1, f(\alpha), f(\alpha)^2, \dots, f(\alpha)^m, \dots$ are linearly independent (they have distinct degrees). This means that $f(\alpha)$ is transcendental over K .

Remark. This shows that when α is transcendental over K , the only elements in $K[\alpha]$ which are algebraic over K are the elements in K . For solving Problem 4.10 below, we will need to prove more: the only elements in $K(\alpha)$ which are algebraic over K are the elements in K .

(b) If β is algebraic over K , for $f \in K[x]$ we have $f(\beta) \in K(\beta)$, and $K(\beta)$ is an algebraic extension of K , therefore in this case $f(\beta)$ is algebraic over K . Hence if $f(\beta)$ is transcendental over K then β is transcendental over K .

- Problem 4.9 p. 48.

The answer is no in general. For instance, since the set of $2^t = e^{t \log 2}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, t transcendental, is not countable, it contains transcendental numbers, and then the numbers $a = 2^t$ and $b = 1/t$ are both transcendental with $a^b = 2$ algebraic.

Also a^b may be transcendental: fix a transcendental number a ; the set of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with a^c algebraic is countable, hence the set of $b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a^b transcendental is not countable, therefore it contains transcendental elements.

Remark. A theorem of transcendental number theory (Gel'fond – Schneider, 1934) states that if a and b are algebraic with $a \neq 0$, $b \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and $\log a \neq 0$, then $a^b = e^{b \log a}$ is transcendental. For instance $2^{\sqrt{2}}$ is transcendental, also $e^\pi = (-1)^{-i}$ is transcendental.

- Problem 4.10 p. 48.

If $K(\alpha, \beta)$ is a simple extension of K , it can be written $K(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in K(\alpha, \beta)$. Since $K(\alpha, \beta)$ is not an algebraic extension of K (it contains the transcendental element β), it follows that γ is transcendental over K .

Write $\alpha = P(\gamma)/Q(\gamma)$. Since γ is root of the polynomial $P(x) - \alpha Q(x)$, it is algebraic over $K(\alpha)$ and the extension $K(\gamma) : K(\alpha)$ is algebraic. From Theorem 4.7 we deduce that $K(\alpha) : K$ is not an algebraic extension, hence α is transcendental over K .

This means that the only elements in a simple transcendental extension $K(\gamma) : K$ which are algebraic over K are the elements in K . See also exercises 4.8 and 5.5.

- Problem 4.11 p. 48.

Take $L = K(x)$ (the field of rational fractions in one variable over K) and τ the monomorphism which maps x to x^2 :

$$\tau \left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right) = \frac{P(x^2)}{Q(x^2)}.$$

The image of τ is the subfield $K(x^2)$, and L is a quadratic extension of $K(x^2)$.

<http://www.rnta.eu/nap/nap-2019/>