

- We proved **Proposition 1.20: Multiplicativity of Degrees** (of extension fields). We added just a couple of details to the proof in the book, which is actually rather detailed. We said (many times, and will say many times again) that one should always draw a lattice diagram (graph) showing intermediate fields at vertices, and labeling edges with degrees.

- We proved **Lemma 1.23:** A domain  $R$  containing a field  $F$  is a field if  $R$  is finite dimensional as a vector space over  $F$ .

This shows that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}]$  is a field. (Earlier we showed  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}]$  is spanned by  $\{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}\}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space.) Therefore  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ .

- For an element  $\alpha$  of an extension field  $K$  of  $F$ , one says that  $\alpha$  is *algebraic over  $F$*  provided there is a *non-zero* polynomial  $f(X) \in F[X]$  having  $\alpha$  as a root. If no such polynomial exists, then  $\alpha$  is *transcendental over  $F$* .

- The exponential base  $e$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and the proof is not too hard, roughly speaking, because the power series expansion of  $e$  is so sparse. Also,  $\pi$  is transcendental, but the proof is much harder. It is not known whether or not  $\frac{e}{\pi}$  is transcendental. In fact, it's not even known whether or not  $\frac{e}{\pi} \in \mathbb{Q}$ !

- For an element  $\alpha$  in a field extension  $K$  of  $F$ , we pointed out that  $F[\alpha] \cong F[X]$  if  $\alpha$  is transcendental over  $F$ , and hence that  $F[\alpha]$  is *properly* contained in  $F(\alpha)$ . If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then  $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$ , by FATSAE (below).

We proved various characterizations of the *minimal polynomial* of an algebraic element:

- **Proposition 1.27 $\frac{1}{4}$ :** Let  $\alpha$  be an element of a field extension  $K$  of  $F$  with  $\alpha$  algebraic over  $F$ . Let  $I$  be the kernel of the “evaluation” homomorphism  $F[X] \rightarrow F[\alpha]$  taking  $g(X)$  to  $g(\alpha)$ . Let  $p(X)$  be a monic polynomial in  $F[X]$ . These conditions on  $p(X) \in F[X]$  are equivalent:

- $p(\alpha) = 0$ , and  $p(X)$  has least degree among all *non-zero* polynomials  $f(X) \in F[X]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .
- $I = p(X)F[X] = (p(X))$ , that is,  $p(X)$  generates the ideal  $I$ .
- $p(\alpha) = 0$  and  $p(X)$  is irreducible.

Well, maybe we didn't include (c) among these conditions, but we will do so in our last class, on Thursday, 17 May.

- We proved what we call the “Fundamental Theorem on Simple Algebra Extensions (FTSAE), aka Theorem 1.27 $\frac{1}{2}$ ”. It covers various things in the book (e.g., “stem fields”) that seem to be a bit scattered.

- **Theorem 1.27 $\frac{1}{2}$  FTSAE:** Let  $\alpha$  be an element of an extension field  $K$  of  $F$ . Assume  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$ , and let  $p(X)$  be a monic polynomial such that (i)  $p(\alpha) = 0$  and (ii)  $p(X)$  has least degree among all *non-zero* polynomials having  $\alpha$  as a root. Put  $n = \deg f(X)$ . Then:

- $p(X)$  is unique (and is called the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  over  $F$ ).
- $p(X)$  is irreducible in  $F[X]$ .
- The set  $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $F[\alpha]$  as a vector space over  $F$ .
- For a polynomial  $g(X) \in F[X]$ , we have  $g(\alpha) = 0 \iff p(X) \mid g(X)$ .
- $F[\alpha]$  is a field, and so  $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$ .
- $[F(\alpha) : F] = n$ .

Of course item (5) follows from Lemma 1.23, but we gave another (constructive) proof, using the fact that the GCD of two gadgets is a linear combination of the gadgets. Thus one can find inverses constructively, using the Euclidean Algorithm.