

## Christian Maire Analytic Lie extensions of number fields with cyclic fixed points and tame ramification

(Joint work with Farshid Hajir)

Written by Frances Odumodu

## **1** Introduction

The conjecture of Fontaine and Mazur characterises all Galois representations which "come from algebraic geometry", that is, representations which arise as Tate twists of the action of  $G_K$  on subquotients of étale cohomology of some smooth projective varieties defined over K. The conjecture states that these representations are precisely the *geometric* representations, that is, representations which are unramified outside a finite set S of places v of K and which are *potentially semistable* at all places in S, that is, the restriction of  $\rho$  to the decomposition group at each place of K of residual characteristic p becomes semistable (in the sense of Fontaine). The *tame conjecture* of Fontaine and Mazur of ([3], conj 5a) considers only finitely and tamely ramified p-adic representations. Now, fix a prime p > 3. The conjecture is as follows **Conjecture 1.1 (Fontaine-Mazur)** Let K be a number field with absolute Galois group  $G_K = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$ . Let  $\rho : G_K \to GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  be a continuous Galois representation such that

- 1. the representation is finitely ramified (that is, the set of ramified primes of  $\rho$  is finite ).
- 2.  $\rho$  is unramified at p

Then, the image of  $\rho$  is finite.

The philosophy of the conjecture: with the hypothesis of the nonramification at p, the eigenvalues of the Frobenius should be roots of unity. In this case, the image of  $\rho$  is solvable and by class field theory, the image is finite.

**Definition 1.1** A group  $\Gamma$  is uniform if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

- *1.*  $\Gamma$  *is a pro-p-group, that is, a projective limit of a finite p-group.*
- 2. The commutator  $[\Gamma, \Gamma] \subseteq \Gamma^p$ ; where  $\Gamma^p$  is the subgroup generated by the *p*-power of elements of  $\Gamma$ .
- 3.  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free.

The first result in the direction of the conjecture is the following due to Boston [2]:

**Theorem 1.1 (Boston)** Let K be a quadratic extension of k with Galois group  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ . Suppose that there is a uniform Galois extension L of K with Galois group  $\Gamma$  such that L/K is unramified and L/k is Galois. Suppose that the p-part of the classgroup of k is trivial, that is that p is relatively prime to the class number of k, then  $\Gamma$  is trivial.

Thus, there is no arithmetic in such situation. Note that a uniform group is a special case of an analytic group. A *p*-adic analytic group is a closed subgroup of  $GL_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for some integer *m*. Lazard relates uniform groups and *p*-adic analytic groups in [1]:

**Theorem 1.2 (Lazard)** *Let G be a p-adic analytic pro-p group. Then G contains an open uniform subgroup.* 

As G is compact, "open" means "of finite index". For the conjecture of Fontaine-Mazur, one has to prove that something is finite, thus we can reduce to the case where the image of  $\rho$  is uniform.

**The main ingredients of the proof of Boston.** The element  $\sigma$  acts on  $\Gamma$  and since *p* is coprime to the class number of *K*, we have that  $\sigma$ does not act trivially on the abelianization  $\Gamma^{ab} = \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ . That is the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\Gamma^{ab}$  is fixed point free. As  $\Gamma$  is uniform,  $\sigma$  does not act trivially on  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\sigma$  has order 2 which is coprime to *p* and  $\Gamma$  is a pro-*p* group, we have that  $\Gamma$  is solvable and by class field theory,  $\Gamma$ is necessarily finite. By the definition of uniformity,  $\Gamma$  is torsion free. Hence,  $\Gamma$  is trivial.

This is not always the case: For example, consider the same situation. We know how to construct some extension where the Hilbert classfield tower is infinite. Here  $K_{\infty}$  is the *p*-Hilbert class field tower of *K*. Recall that the class group of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is trivial. Now,  $\sigma$  does not act trivially on  $G^{ab}$  but the action of  $\sigma$  on *G* has some fixed points, that is, points  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma(g) = g$  with  $g \neq 1$ . If there were no fixed points under the action of  $\sigma$  on *G*, the conclusion would be the same. That is, *G* should be solvable and then

 $\begin{matrix} K_{\infty} \\ G \\ \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm d}) \\ \\ \langle \sigma \rangle \\ \mathbb{Q} \end{matrix}$ 

finite. But this is not the case. This is very particular to the uniform situation.

## 2 Uniform situation

What happens if we add some fixed points following the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma$  uniform. The context of Boston is "no fixed points". So here we add some fixed points.

**Examples of uniform groups** The first uniform group that is non-trivial for the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture is

$$SL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = ker(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)).$$

This group is uniform of dimension 3. More generally, the group  $SL_n^1(\mathbb{Z}_p) = ker(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p))$  is uniform of dimension  $n^2 - 1$ . We would like to obtain new uniform groups in the direction of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture.

**Class field towers.** Let *K* be a number field and *S* a finite set of places of *K*. Let  $K_S$  be the maximal pro-*p*-extension of *K* unramified outside *S*, and  $G_S = G_S(K) = Gal(K_S/K)$  be its Galois group. This extension is too big so we cut it. Let *T* be a finite set of places of *K* disjoint with *S*. Let  $K_S^T$  be the maximal extension of *K* such that there is no ramification outside *S* and every place in *T* splits totally. Put  $G_S^T = Gal(K_S^T/K)$  be its Galois group.

We generalise the context of Boston, that is, look at the action of an element  $\sigma$  of order  $\ell$  coprime to p. To simplify the exposition, take  $\ell = 2$ . We first define the concept of a  $\sigma$ -uniform image.

Definition 2.1 Consider a continuous Galois representation

 $\rho: G_S^T \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p).$ 

Let L be the subfield of  $K_S^T$  fixed by ker( $\rho$ ) such that  $\Gamma = im(\rho)$  is naturally identified with Gal(L/K). Then,  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -uniform if  $\Gamma = Gal(L/K)$  is uniform and the extension L/k is Galois.

**Theorem 2.1 (Hajir-Maire)** Let K be a quadratic extension of k. Suppose that s is a positive integer and that p does not divide the order of  $Cl_K$ . Let T be a set of primes of K sufficiently large, that is, the order of T satisfies  $|T| \ge \alpha s + \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta$  constants depending on K. Then there exist s sets  $S_1, \ldots, S_s$  of places of K, of positive (Chebotarev) density such that for every finite set  $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  of places of K with  $p_i \in S_i$ , we have the following

- 1. The arithmetic is nontrivial. That is,  $G_S^T(K)$  is infinite.
- 2. Under the action of  $\sigma$  on  $(G_S^T)^{ab}$ , there are s independent fixed points.
- 3. There is no continuous Galois representation  $\rho : G_S^T \to GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with  $\sigma$ -uniform image  $SL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  if:
  - *a)* Fontaine-Mazur conjecture holds for the base field k. *or*
  - b)  $s \leq 2$  ("small").

If we replace  $SL_2^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  with  $SL_n^1(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the result still holds and we have  $s \leq n^2 - 1$ , if the action of  $\sigma$  on the group  $\Gamma$  corresponds to  $\sigma_A$ , conjugation by a matrix  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

**Sketch of proof.** The first statement is a consequence of Golod-Shafarevich since |T| grows linearly with *s*.

 $K^H$ 

 $K \mid \langle \sigma \rangle$ 

k

 $\Big| \Big) \cong Cl_K(p)$ 

For the second statement, we need the following. Let  $K^H$  be the *p*-Hilbert class field of *K*, that is, the maximal abelian unramified *p*-extension of *K*. Let  $Cl_K(p)$  be the *p*-sylow classgroup of *K* and  $N = Gal(K^H/K)$ . Then, Artin map gives the canonical isomorphism  $Cl_K(p) \cong N$ . The prime *p* divides the order of *N*, so we are not in the semisimple case.

We want to choose *S* in order to create enough fixed points for the action of  $\sigma$ . To find *S* we use Kummer

theory and Chebotarev density theorem. To do this, we need to know more about the units O of  $K^H$ . The arithmetic question is to find a Minkowski unit from the extension  $K^H/K$ . Now,  $K^H$  has a Minkowski unit if  $O/(O)^p$  contains a nontrivial  $\mathbb{F}_p[N]$ -free module. We look at the structure of the units of  $K^H$  modulo p as an  $\mathbb{F}_p[N]$ -module. We introduce the set T and consider the T-units  $O^T$ . We prove that when T is large, the T-units admit a large  $\mathbb{F}_p[N]$ -module. Thus, we compare the Galois module structure coming from group theory by the action of  $\sigma$  on some subgroup of the analytic group, with the structure coming from arithmetic structure and by the choice of *S*, there is an incompatibility.

## References

- [1] Michel Lazard. *Groupes analytiques p-adiques*. Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., tome 26 (1965), p.5-219.
- [2] Nigel Boston. *Some cases of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture*. Journal of Number Theory 42 (1992), p. 285-291.
- [3] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Barry Mazur. Geometric Galois representations in Elliptic curves, modular forms and Fermat's last theorem. Proceedings of a conference at the institute of mathematics of the chinese university of Hongkong. Ed, J. Coates and S.T. Yau. International press, (1997), p. 190-227.
- [4] Farshid Hajir and Christian Maire. Analytic Lie extensions of number fields with cyclic fixed points and tame ramification. Preprint. 2017

Frances Odumodu Institut de Mathematioues de Bordeaux

Universite de Bordeaux

351 COURS DE LA LIBERATION

33400 Talence, France.

email: francesodumodu@gmail.com