Introduction to *L*-functions: The Artin Cliffhanger...



Artin L-functions

- Let K/k be a Galois extension of number fields, V a finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space and (ρ, V) be a representation of $\operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$.
- (unramified) If $\mathfrak{p} \subset k$ is unramified in K and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{P} \subset K$, put

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}(s,\rho) = \det^{-1} \left(I_{V} - N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s} \rho\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}\right) \right).$$

Depends only on conjugacy class of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (i.e., only on \mathfrak{p}), not on \mathfrak{P} . • (general) If G acts on V and H subgroup of G, then

$$V^{H} = \{ v \in V : h(v) = v, \forall h \in H \}.$$

With $\rho|_{V^{h_{\mathfrak{P}}}} : \operatorname{Gal}(K/k) \to GL(V^{h_{\mathfrak{P}}}).$
 $L_{\mathfrak{p}}(s, \rho) = \det^{-1}(I - N_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s}\rho|_{V^{h_{\mathfrak{P}}}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}})).$

Definition

For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, the Artin L-function belonging to ρ is defined by

$$L(s,\rho)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\subset k}L_{\mathfrak{p}}(s,\rho).$$

Conjecture (Artin's Conjecture)

If ρ is a non-trivial irreducible representation, then $L(s, \rho)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane.

- We can prove meromorphic.
- Proof.

(1) Use Brauer's Theorem:

$$\chi = \sum_{i} n_{i} \operatorname{Ind} (\chi_{i}),$$

with χ_i one-dimensional characters of subgroups and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. (2) Use Properties (4) and (5). (3) $L(s, \chi_i)$ is meromorphic (Hecke L-function). Introduction to *L*-functions: Hasse-Weil *L*-functions

Paul Voutier

CIMPA-ICTP Research School, Nesin Mathematics Village June 2017 • Let N_m , m = 1, 2, ... be a sequence of complex numbers.

$$Z(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_m u^m}{m}\right)$$

• With some sequences, if we have an Euler product, this does look more like zeta functions we have seen. Let's see how...

Local zeta function

٥

- Let F be a field and let f(x) ∈ F [x₀,..., x_n] be a homogeneous polynomial (all monomials have same total degree).
 Let V_f(F) be the set of F-points in Pⁿ(F).
- Let q = p^r, then there is a unique field 𝔽_q containing ℤ/pℤ.
 For any positive integer m, there is a unique field 𝔽_q^m containing 𝔽_q.
- Let N_m be the number of points in $V_f(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$.

$$Z_{f,q}(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|V_f(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})| u^m}{m}\right),$$

called the local or congruence zeta function of f. More generally, we consider $Z_{V,q}(u)$ for any variety V defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

Examples

• A single point: n = 1 and $f = x_1$. $V_f = \{[1, 0]\}$, so $N_m = 1$ and

$$Z_{f,q}(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m}\right) = \exp\left(-\log(1-u)\right) = \frac{1}{1-u}.$$

• A projective line:
$$n = 2$$
 and $f = x_1$.
 $V_f = \{[f, 0, 1] : f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}\} \cup \{[1, 0, 0]\}, \text{ so } N_m = q^m + 1 \text{ and}$
 $Z_{f,q}(u) = \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(qu)^m}{m}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{(1-u)(1-qu)}.$

- Notice that both of these are rational functions of *u*.
- There is a deep conjecture of Tate relating the order of the pole at *u* = *q*⁻¹ to the geometry of the hypersurface.

Example (Elliptic Curves)

• Elliptic curve, E, defined over \mathbb{F}_q :

$$Z_{E,q}(u) = rac{1-a_{E,q}u+qu^2}{(1-u)(1-qu)},$$

where
$$a_{E,q} = q + 1 - N_1$$
.

- Hasse: $|a_{E,q}| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$. • Write $1 - a_{E,q}u + qu^2 = (1 - \alpha u)(1 - (q/\alpha)u)$. $N_m = q^m + 1 - \alpha^m - (q/\alpha)^m$. Special case: $N_1 = q + 1 - \alpha - q/\alpha$ (so we can determine α from N_1). Thus from N_1 we can obtain N_m for all m.
- α is a quadratic imaginary algebraic number.

$$|\alpha| = q^{1/2}.$$

Isn't 1/2 important for roots of other zeta functions too...?

- Artin: introduced these zeta functions. Hyperelliptic curves: y² = f(x). (1923) his thesis!! (no pressure...)
- For many elliptic curves, he proved that $|\alpha| = q^{1/2}$. An analogue of the Riemann hypothesis.
- Hasse (1934): This Riemann hypothesis holds for all elliptic curves.
- Weil (1948):

Proved a generalisation for all nonsingular curves.

Weil did much more too, but first some other guy...

Fast Fourier transform, least squares, find lost asteroids,... Gauss.

Weil proved the following for smooth projective curves, \mathcal{C} , over \mathbb{F}_q .

$$Z_{\mathcal{C},q}(u)=\frac{P(u)}{(1-u)(1-qu)},$$

where $P(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ with constant coefficient 1. If C is the reduction mod p of a variety, \tilde{C} , over \mathbb{Q} , then deg(P) = 2g, g is the genus (or Betti number) of \tilde{C} .

• If α is a reciprocal root of P, then $|\alpha| = q^{1/2}$.

Key Point

The geometry of the object over the complex numbers is connected with its arithmetic properties.

Weil Conjectures (1949)

V a non-singular *n*-dimensional projective algebraic variety over \mathbb{F}_q . • (Rationality) $Z_V(u)$ is a rational function of u. More precisely,

$$\frac{P_1(u)\cdots P_{2n-1}(u)}{P_0(u)\cdots P_{2n}(u)},$$

where each $P_i(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ with $P_0(u) = 1 - u$, $P_{2n}(u) = 1 - q^n u$, and

$$P_i(u) = \prod_j (1 - lpha_{i,j}u) \quad ext{for } i = 1, \dots, 2n-1$$

• (Riemann hypothesis) For all $1 \le i \le 2n - 1$ and all j,

$$|\alpha_{i,j}| = q^{i/2}$$

• (Functional equation) Let E be the Euler characteristic of V.

$$Z_V\left(q^{-n}u^{-1}\right) = \pm q^{\frac{nE}{2}}u^E Z_V(u),$$

• (Betti numbers) If V is a (good) reduction mod p of a non-singular projective variety \tilde{V} defined over a number field, then the degree of P_i is the *i*-th Betti number of the space of complex points of \tilde{V} .

All proven!

- (Rationality) Dwork (1959): rationality holds much more generally. For any algebraic set. Non-singular condition not needed.
- (Functional equation) Grothendieck (1965).
- (Betti numbers) Grothendieck (1965).
- (Riemann hypothesis) This was the hardest one. Finally proven by Deligne in 1974.
- Key motivation for modern development of algebraic geometry.

Euler Product (I)

• Do what we do before with local factors. E.g., recall that for a single point, Z(u) = 1/(1-u). So

$$\prod_{p} Z\left(p^{-s}\right) = \prod_{p} \left(1 - p^{-s}\right)^{-1} = \zeta(s).$$

So "strange" initial definition fits with our previous examples.

Restrict now to curves.

$$L_{\mathcal{C}}(s) = \frac{\zeta(s)\zeta(s-1)}{\prod_{p} Z_{\mathcal{C},p}(p^{-s})} = \prod_{p} \left(P\left(p^{-s}\right) \right)^{-1}$$

=
$$\prod_{p} \left(1 + b_{1}p^{-s} + \dots + b_{2g}p^{-2gs} \right)^{-1}$$

=
$$\prod_{p} \left(1 - \alpha_{1,1}p^{-s} \right)^{-1} \dots \left(1 - \alpha_{1,2g}p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

Euler Product (ζ vs L vs ...)

•
$$\zeta$$
: $\zeta_V(s) = \prod_p Z_{V,p}(p^{-s}).$

L-function: from Weil conjectures, Z_{V,p}(T) is a product of terms.
 For good primes,

$$L_p\left(H^j(V),s
ight) = \det\left(I - \operatorname{Frob}_p p^{-s}|H^j(V)
ight)^{-1}$$
 j=0,...,2n.

For bad primes,

$$L_p\left(H^j(V),s\right) = \det\left(I - \operatorname{Frob}_p p^{-s} | H^j(V)^{I_p}\right)^{-1}$$
 j=0,...,2n.

L-function definition:

$$L(H^{j}(V),s) = \prod_{p} L_{p}(H^{j}(V),s)$$
 j=0,...,2n.

• The connection between them:

$$\zeta_V(s) = \prod_{j=0}^{2n} L\left(H^j(V), s\right)^{(-1)^j}$$

For curves: we use $L_V(s)$ for $L(H^1(V), s)$.

Elliptic Curves: local zeta function

• Elliptic curve, *E*, defined over \mathbb{F}_q , with discriminant, Δ_E :

$$Z_{E,q}(u) = rac{1-a_{E,q}u+qu^2}{(1-u)(1-qu)}.$$

- E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q only if $p \nmid \Delta_E$ (good reduction).
- p|Δ_E. Three kinds of bad reduction can happen.
 (1) E mod q has a cusp (a double point with one tangent), so a_{E,q} = 0. Also called additive reduction.

(2) *E* mod *q* has a node with a pair of tangents in \mathbb{F}_q , so $a_{E,q} = 1$. Also called **split multiplicative reduction**.

(3) *E* mod *q* has a node with a pair of tangents in a quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_q , so $a_{E,q} = -1$.

Also called nonsplit multiplicative reduction.

For any bad reduction, we have

$$Z_{E,q}(u) = rac{1 - a_{E,q}u}{(1 - u)(1 - qu)}.$$

Elliptic Curves: Hasse-Weil L-function

• Hasse-Weil L-function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}}(s) = \prod_{p \mid \Delta_{\mathcal{E}}} \left(1 - a_{\mathcal{E},p} p^{-s}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid \Delta_{\mathcal{E}}} \left(1 - a_{\mathcal{E},p} p^{-s} + p p^{-2s}\right)^{-1}$$

• Exercise: $L_E(s)$ converges and is analytic for all $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 3/2$.

Conjecture

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over any number field K. $L_E(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation relating its values at s and 2 - s.

• Eichler and Shimura (independently) proved that this is true for elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} with a "modular parametrisation".

Theorem (Modularity Theorem, Wiles and others)

Every elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} has a modular parametrisation.

• The conjecture holds for all elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Elliptic Curves: Functional equation

• Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . Complete *L*-function

$$\Lambda_E(s) = \underbrace{N_E^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)}_{\text{local factor at infinity}} L_E(s),$$

 $N_E \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the **conductor** – a more refined version of discriminant. • $\Lambda_E(s)$ is an entire function satisfying

$$\Lambda_E(s) = w \Lambda_E(2-s),$$

where $w = \pm 1$ is the sign of the functional equation. Parity conjecture: w determines the parity of $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1}(L_E(s))$.

Tate (1974)

This remarkable conjecture relates the behavior of a function L at a point where it is not at present known to be defined to the order of a group III which is not known to be finite!

Conjecture (Birch Swinnerton-Dyer)

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . (a) $L_E(s)$ has a zero at s = 1 of order equal to the rank, r, of $E(\mathbb{Q})$. (b) $\lim_{s \to 1} \frac{L_E(s)}{(s-1)^r} = \frac{2^r |\amalg| R}{|F_{term}(\mathbb{Q})|^2} (\text{local factors}).$

III – Tate-Shafarevich group, an analogue of the ideal class group. The obstruction group to local-global principle. R – the elliptic regulator.

• Known results for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} :

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_E(1) \neq 0 & \Longrightarrow \operatorname{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q})) = 0, \\ L_E(1) = 0 \text{ and } L'_E(1) \neq 0 & \Longrightarrow \operatorname{rank}(E(\mathbb{Q})) = 1. \end{array}$$

Kolyvagin and Gross-Zagier (plus modularity).

- Bhargava and Shanker: average rank ≤ 0.885.
 Bhargava, Skinner, Zhang: B-SD(a) is true for > 66% of elliptic curves.
- conjecture: 50% of curves have rank 0 and 50% have rank 1.

Conjecture

All but finitely many E/\mathbb{Q} have rank at most 21. All E/\mathbb{Q} have rank at most 28.