

## Unlikely Intersections in families of abelian varieties

Fabrizio Barroero

Let *n* be an integer with  $n \ge 2$  and let  $E_{\lambda}$  denote the elliptic curve in the Legendre form defined by  $Y^2 = X(X - 1)(X - \lambda)$ . Masser and Zannier showed that there are at most finitely many complex numbers  $\lambda_0 \ne 0, 1$  such that the two points  $\left(2, \sqrt{2(2 - \lambda_0)}\right)$  and  $\left(3, \sqrt{6(3 - \lambda_0)}\right)$ both have finite order on the elliptic curve  $E_{\lambda_0}$ . Later Masser and Zannier proved that one can replace 2 and 3 with any two distinct complex numbers  $(\ne 0, 1)$  or even choose distinct *X*-coordinates  $(\ne \lambda)$ defined over an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ .

In his book, Zannier asks if there are finitely many  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that two independent relations between the points  $(2, \sqrt{2(2 - \lambda_0)})$ ,  $(3, \sqrt{6(3 - \lambda_0)})$  and  $(5, \sqrt{20(5 - \lambda_0)})$  hold on  $E_{\lambda_0}$ .

In joint work with Laura Capuano we proved that this question has a positive answer, as Zannier expected in view of very general conjectures. We actually showed a more general result, analogous to the one of Masser and Zannier.

**Theorem 1** Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2n+1}$  be an irreducible curve defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  with coordinate functions  $(x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda$  non-constant, such that, for every  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ , the points  $P_j = (x_j, y_j)$  lie on  $E_{\lambda}$  and there are no integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , not all zero, such that  $a_1P_1 + \cdots + a_nP_n = O$ 

identically on *C*. Then there are at most finitely many  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  such that the points  $P_1(\mathbf{c}), \ldots, P_n(\mathbf{c})$  satisfy two independent relations on  $E_{\lambda(\mathbf{c})}$ .

In later works we extended the theorem to abelian schemes.

Fix a number field k and a smooth irreducible curve S defined over k. We consider an abelian scheme  $\mathcal{A}$  over S of relative dimension  $g \ge 2$ , also defined over k. This means that for each  $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$  we have an abelian variety  $\mathcal{A}_s$  of dimension g defined over k(s).

Let *C* be an irreducible curve in  $\mathcal{A}$  also defined over *k* and not contained in a proper subgroup scheme of  $\mathcal{A}$ , even after a base extension. A component of a subgroup scheme of  $\mathcal{A}$  is either a component of an algebraic subgroup of a fiber or it dominates the base curve *S*. A subgroup scheme whose irreducible components are all of the latter kind is called flat.

The following theorem follows from joint works with Laura Capuano and a work of Habegger and Pila in the iso-trivial case.

**Theorem 2** Let k and S be as above. Let  $\mathcal{A} \to S$  be an abelian scheme and C an irreducible curve in  $\mathcal{A}$  not contained in a proper subgroup scheme of  $\mathcal{A}$ , even after a finite base change. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and C are defined over k. Then, the intersection of C with the union of all flat subgroup schemes of  $\mathcal{A}$  of codimension at least 2 is a finite set.

Fabrizio Barroero Departement Mathematik und Informatik Universität Basel Spiegelgasse 1 4051 Basel, Switzerland. email: fbarroero@gmail.com